

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/507,134	Applicant(s) INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner Holly Rickman	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) John Bailey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all pending

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that an error was made in the restriction requirement of 4/5/07. Species II is limited to claims 8-9 and should not have included claims 10-13. Mr Bailey reconfirmed that his clients would like to elect Species II, claims 8-9.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)